6165-074 Architectural Design 4 Principles



Examiners' report – June 2014

www.cityandguilds.com September 2014 Version 1.0

About City & Guilds

City & Guilds is the UK's leading provider of vocational qualifications, offering over 500 awards across a wide range of industries, and progressing from entry level to the highest levels of professional achievement. With over 8500 centres in 100 countries, City & Guilds is recognised by employers worldwide for providing qualifications that offer proof of the skills they need to get the job done.

City & Guilds Group

The City & Guilds Group includes City & Guilds, ILM (the Institute of Leadership & Management, which provides management qualifications, learning materials and membership services), City & Guilds NPTC (which offers land-based qualifications and membership services), City & Guilds HAB (the Hospitality Awarding Body), and City & Guilds Centre for Skills Development. City & Guilds also manages the Engineering Council Examinations on behalf of the Engineering Council.

Equal opportunities

City & Guilds fully supports the principle of equal opportunities and we are committed to satisfying this principle in all our activities and published material. A copy of our equal opportunities policy statement is available on our website.

Copyright

The content of this document is, unless otherwise indicated, © The City and Guilds of London Institute and may not be copied, reproduced or distributed without prior written consent.

However, approved City & Guilds centres and candidates studying for City & Guilds qualifications may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version of it on centre intranets on the following conditions:

centre staff may copy the material only for the purpose of teaching candidates working towards a City & Guilds qualification, or for internal administration purposes

candidates may copy the material only for their own use when working towards a City & Guilds qualification

The Standard Copying Conditions (which can be found on our website) also apply.

Please note: National Occupational Standards are not © The City and Guilds of London Institute. Please check the conditions upon which they may be copied with the relevant Sector Skills Council.

Publications

City & Guilds publications are available from our website or from our Publications Sales department, using the contact details shown below.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept liability for loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

City & Guilds 1 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DD T +44 (0)844 543 0000 F +44 (0)20 7294 2413

www.cityandguilds.com centresupport@cityandguilds.com

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Feedback on candidate performance	3
	General feedback	3
	Forthcoming Exam Dates are:	4

1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide centres with feedback on the performance of candidates in the June 2014 examination for 6165-074 Architectural Design 4 Principles.

2 Feedback on candidate performance

General feedback

The following comments are intended to help candidates prepare for the examination by having a better understanding of what is expected of them. The feedback within this report would also be valuable to tutors in understanding candidates' difficulties in answering questions and the areas where more guidance is required.

The June 2014 series question paper was found to be in accordance with the qualification requirements.

Candidates appeared to have no issues with the paper format.

Responses varied from Centre to Centre. Some where they had clearly been prepared and others where their responses showed an apparent lack of teaching or understanding for the subject.

It is noticeable that there is a large gap between candidates demonstrating a reasonable understanding of the paper and some coverage of the syllabus was taught and those where the understanding and the language is very poor.

Question	Syllabus ref	Examiner comments	
1	74.15	Generally well answered but the quality of sketching varied widely. Some candidates incorrectly produced an electrical services drawing.	
2	74.19	Again well answered in most cases but marks lost where candidates listed items of equipment rather than the service (cooker, light bulb etc).	
3	74.12	Well answered. Range and use of equipment well understood. Sketches used to advantage in some cases.	
4	74.14/13/20	 a) A poor response overall with only a small number of candidates identifying 1:500 as the appropriate scale. b) The use of a field book generally understood but some candidates confused this with booking levels. c) Poor response to this section. The use of components of building services drawings not understood in many cases eg ducts – positions, allowances within the structure etc. A need as examiners to rethink this type of question. 	
5	74.16/17/18	 a) Again only a limited understanding of the meaning of fixtures and fittings and construction components demonstrated. b) Only a vague understanding of the approximate estimating techniques described. Centres need to ensure candidates are taught this aspect of the syllabus. This type of question is a regular in the series. 	
6	74.43	This question was about dimensioning and generally answered well. Many candidates achieved high marks. Very pleased with the questions and responses.	
7	74.61/39	The actual creation of wblocks provided mixed responses, some answers were vague. Candidates from some Centres did not attempt part a). Part b was generally well answered. Candidates appeared to understand advantages of blocks and response was good overall.	
8	74.56	This question required the profile to be drawn from different line commands, it was well answered. Many candidates gaining full marks. Part b had a poor response, candidates asked to edit line to	

		2 mm thickness without using line width but nearly all ignored this and did not provide the answer required which was to polyedit.
9	74.47/48	Good responses for part a. Plotter settings required to plot a drawing at scale 1:100. Part b was also well answered by the vast majority which was need for hard copies.
10	74.36/37/55	Editing of an existing drawing had a good response. Most candidates appeared to understand these concepts and marks for part A were high. Part B was generally well answered although the mirror text variable was not applied to prevent text being mirrored.

Forthcoming Exam Dates are:

04 December 2014 10 June 2015 Published by City & Guilds 1 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DD T +44 (0)844 543 0000 F +44 (0)20 7294 2413 www.cityandguilds.com

City & Guilds is a registered charity established to promote education and training