

Chief Examiner's Report

Functional Skills English Reading

Level 2 (4748)

4748-113 (e-volve)

4748-213 (paper-based)

Version 1.2

Last modified 17-February-2023

Document revision history

Version	Changed by	Summary of change	Approval date
1.1	Assessment	Document updated to reflect learner performance between April 2020 and April 2021	May 2021
1.2	Assessment	Document updated to reflect learner performance between May 2021 and January 2023	February 2023

About City & Guilds

As the UK's leading vocational education organisation, City & Guilds is leading the talent revolution by inspiring people to unlock their potential and develop their skills. City & Guilds is recognised and respected by employers across the world as a sign of quality and exceptional training.

City & Guilds Group

The City & Guilds Group operates from three major hubs: London (servicing Europe, the Caribbean and Americas), Johannesburg (servicing Africa), and Singapore (servicing Asia, Australia and New Zealand). The Group also includes the Institute of Leadership & Management (management and leadership qualifications), City & Guilds Licence to Practice (land-based qualifications) and Learning Assistant (an online e-portfolio).

Copyright

The content of this document is, unless otherwise indicated, © The City and Guilds of London Institute and may not be copied, reproduced or distributed without prior written consent. However, approved City & Guilds centres and candidates studying for City & Guilds qualifications may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version of it on centre intranets on the following conditions:

- centre staff may copy the material only for the purpose of teaching candidates working towards a City & Guilds qualification, or for internal administration purposes
- candidates may copy the material only for their own use when working towards a City & Guilds qualification

The Standard Copying Conditions (see the City & Guilds website) also apply.

City & Guilds

1 Giltspur Street

London EC1A 9DD

www.cityandguilds.com

Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
2. Overall performance.....	5
3. Areas for development.....	6
4. Advice for centres	9

1. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide centres with feedback on the performance of candidates for 4748-113 and 4748-213 Functional Skills English Reading Level 2. This report covers the period from May 2021 to January 2023.

2. Overall performance

This report covers the period from May 2021 to January 2023.

Pass rates are high, and the majority of candidates who pass are able to demonstrate a good level of achievement across all the Subject Content Statements.

Time management does not appear to be an issue for most candidates, as very few papers appear to be incomplete.

Exam technique is occasionally an issue for all candidates, although more so for weaker candidates. Issues include not reading the questions carefully enough, not noting the number of responses required, selecting too few or too many answers in multiple-choice questions, and not following directions to take answers from specific parts of the source documents.

For successful candidates, no single Subject Content Statement (SCS) stands out as being particularly problematic. However, some consistencies can be seen across weaker candidates' papers. These include failure to fully and explicitly compare and/or contrast information from the two source documents (SCS 12), difficulties when distinguishing between fact and opinion (SCS 18), difficulties when asked to identify bias (SCS 17) and difficulties when identifying different language techniques employed by authors to effectively convey meaning (SCS 14).

The overall performance suggests that candidates engage well with the source documents and have completed comprehensive learning programmes that include familiarisation with both the Subject Content Statements and the types and styles of questions that they are likely to be presented with prior to being entered for the exam. However, this is not universal, and it is apparent that some candidates are entered before the necessary learning and familiarisation has taken place.

3. Areas for development

1. It is important to read the questions carefully.

Some questions will direct candidates to look at specific parts of the source documents. For example, a question might read: *Identify two biased phrases in the second paragraph of Document 1.* In order to achieve any marks, responses must come from the relevant part of the document(s).

2. Questions will indicate how many responses are required.

On occasions, candidates enter too few responses. Careful reading of the questions should ensure candidates know how many responses are required.

3. Subject Content Statement 12: *Compare information, ideas and opinions in different texts, including how they are conveyed.*

Typical questions will ask candidates to compare what each source document says about certain information, a particular idea or an opinion. Critical to attaining full marks for this type of question is being explicit when comparing or contrasting, most easily done through using simple discourse markers. Merely stating that Document 1 says one thing and Document 2 says another, without any explicit comparing or contrasting, will result in the candidate dropping marks. Candidates should be taught about the use of discourse markers, some of the more common ones being *however, in contrast, similarly, both documents, but*, etc.

It is also important that candidates carefully read what it is that they are expected to compare or contrast. Candidates may be directed to particular paragraphs, titles, subheadings or specific phrases. Failure to refer to the correct part of the source documents will result in the loss of all marks for that question.

4. Subject Content Statement 14: *Understand the relationship between textual features and devices, and how they can be used to shape meaning for different audiences and purposes.*

It is important that candidates are familiar with the many different textual features and devices that can be used to shape meaning. Papers will test a candidate's abilities to recognise the main purpose of a text, or specific language techniques used, eg, persuasive language, bias, critical language, humorous language, formal or informal language, emotive language, rhetorical questions, etc. Candidates should be able to recognise when language choices are made by authors and for what purposes.

Once again, it is important that candidates read the questions carefully, as they may be directed to look only at specific areas of the text.

5. Subject Content Statement 15: *Use a range of reference materials and appropriate resources (e.g. glossaries, legends/keys) for different purposes, including to find the meaning of words in straightforward and complex sources.*

This area is generally well addressed, although it is apparent that weaker candidates do not always understand what is being asked, and how certain textual features can be used to direct readers to other areas of the text to find out further information, eg, asterisks, footnotes, captions, superscript reference numbers, icons. legends and keys.

6. Subject Content Statement 17: *Analyse texts, of different levels of complexity, recognising their use of vocabulary and identifying levels of formality and bias.*

Recognising the use of vocabulary and identifying formality and bias in texts is tested in various ways. These include multiple-choice questions, asking candidates to identify formal or informal words or phrases, asking why a particular contributor may be biased, asking candidates to identify biased words or phrases, asking candidates to identify evidence of other tones and registers, eg sarcasm, persuasion, etc, or asking candidates to identify the overall register.

It is important that candidates do not limit the study to only recognising formality and bias, as the Subject Content Statement also covers recognising the use of vocabulary in complex texts.

7. Subject Content Statement 18: *Follow and argument, identifying different points of view and distinguishing fact from opinion.*

Candidates should be aware of how facts and opinions are presented, and how certain terms can render a phrase as an opinion. They should also be able to recognise that opinions are sometimes presented as facts, even though they are opinions.

Candidates will never be asked to give their own opinion about a subject when this Subject Content Statement is being addressed. On occasion, candidates answer with their own opinion when a question is worded similarly to: *Give one opinion from the third paragraph in Document 2, for example.*

When identifying either facts or opinions, it is important candidates do not write out too much text but limit themselves to the specific example of a text or opinion. Examiners need to be confident that the candidate can meet the requirement, and writing out large sections of the text that contain both facts and opinions is likely to result in a loss of marks.

8. Subject Content Statement 19: *Identify different styles of writing and writer's voice.*

Candidates should be familiar with the many different styles of writing and the voices that can be adopted by the writer. Some of the more common examples are formal, informal, critical, positive, negative, accusatory, comic, excited, persuasive, etc. This area is often addressed through multiple-choice questions, but not exclusively.

4. Advice for centres

1. Candidates should only be entered into the exam once sufficient learning has taken place. In order to maximise the chances of success, candidates should have previously made use of sample papers and model answers, as these will not only give an indication of their progress but will also ensure they are familiar with the types of question that may be asked.
2. An updated Guidance for Delivery document is available on the City & Guilds website. This is essential reading for all tutors or other support staff involved in the delivery of Functional Skills English, as it provides further detail about the Subject Content Statements, examples of the types of questions that may be asked and sample teaching activities.
3. Spelling, punctuation and grammar are not tested in the examination, and there is no requirement for candidates to write in complete sentences nor to repeat the stem of the question in the response.
4. Centres are strongly urged to refer to the previous Chief Examiner's Report dated March 2020 and May 2021, as the information and advice contained within the report are still applicable.

Oliver Jobes

Chief Examiner

Contact us

Giltspur House 5-6 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DE

general.enquiries@cityandguilds.com

01924 930 801

www.cityandguilds.com

About City & Guilds

Since 1878 we have worked with people, organisations and economies to help them identify and develop the skills they need to thrive. We understand the life changing link between skills development, social mobility, prosperity and success. Everything we do is focused on developing and delivering high-quality training, qualifications, assessments and credentials that lead to jobs and meet the changing needs of industry.

We work with governments, organisations and industry stakeholders to help shape future skills needs across industries. We are known for setting industry-wide standards for technical, behavioural and commercial skills to improve performance and productivity. We train teams, assure learning, assess cohorts and certify with digital credentials. Our solutions help to build skilled and compliant workforces.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

©2023 The City & Guilds of London Institute. All rights reserved. City & Guilds is a trademark of the City & Guilds of London Institute, a charity registered in England & Wales (312832) and Scotland (SC039576).